IMPACT OF THE CEFR ON EDUCATIONAL POLICIES



Salomat KHATAMOVA
Senior Teacher
Gulistan State University

Abstract

The author of the article discusses the impact of the CEFR implementation on educational policies across various countries and educational contexts. In addition the author discusses the implementation process of the CEFR in Uzbekistan's education system and brings the examples of successful implementation of the document.

Keywords: CEFR; impact; educational policy; education system; implementation.

"CEFR"NING TA'LIM SIYOSATIGA TA'SIRI

Саломат ХОТАМОВА

катта ўқитувчи Гулистон давлат университети

Аннотация

Мақола муаллифи "Тил билишнинг умумевропа компетенциялари: ўрганиш, ўқитиш, бахолаш"нинг турли мамлакатларда жорий этилиши ва унинг мамлакатлар таълим тизими ва таълимига оид сиёсатига кўрсатган таъсирини таҳлил қилади. Шу билан бирга муаллиф Ўзбекистон Республикаси таълим тизимига юқоридаги компетенциялар жорий қилинишининг мақсад ва вазифаларини баён қилади.

Калит сўзлар: "Тил билишнинг умумевропа компетенциялари: ўрганиш, ўқитиш, баҳолаш"; таълим сиёсати; таълим тизими.

ВЛИЯНИЕ CEFR НА ОБРАЗОВАТЕЛЬНУЮ ПОЛИТИКУ

Саломат ХАТАМОВА

старший преподаватель Гулистанский государственный университет

Аннотация

В статье автор проводит анализ влияния внедрения «Общеевропейские компетенции владения иностранным языком: изучение, преподавание, оценка» на образовательную политику нескольких стран. Также автор приводит цели и задачи внедрения документа в образовательную систему Республики Узбекистан.

Ключевые слова: «Общеевропейские компетенции владения иностранным языком: изучение, преподавание, оценка»; образовательная политика; контекст; влияние.

The CEFR had a major impact on language learning and teaching policies across the European countries since its publication and application in 2001. Moreover, it had a major influence on the development of language learning and teaching programs across North American countries as well (2).

Since its first introduction and application in 2001, the CEFR has undeniably influenced language policies in many countries and informed language instruction reforms. This influence and impact is considerably seen at the level of language education curriculum design and assessment reforms in a number of countries in the world (2).

A survey conducted in more than 30 European countries informed that the CEFR was used and considered as a useful resource tool in planning and developing language teaching curricula, in developing language assessment and evaluation tests, and designing language education materials (11).

In 2013 a study conducted by the European Parliament's Committee on Education and Culture yielded similar results following a study of CEFR implementation in six European countries. According to the results of the report, most of the participating countries' foreign language policies and curricula are informed by the CEFR. Most of the language tests, examinations and school textbooks and language teaching materials are linked to the CEFR (4).

Large scale survey conducted by European Commission among language teachers, learners and school principals of 16 European educational systems enabled to compare language policies, language teaching approaches and learning of languages in different contexts (6). The survey results reported that in all educational systems, except two of 16 participating systems, the CEFR was mandated or recommended by but two educational systems the CEFR was either mandated or recommended by educational authorities for the purpose of curriculum development, teacher training, language testing and assessment and finally for the selection and development of language teaching and learning materials.

In Uzbekistan, the CEFR was the primary document in reporting language policy reforms in all stages of education, starting from primary education to higher education since its adoption in and serving as a basis for the development of new educational standard in 2013. The reform of national curricula and language teaching standards in Uzbekistan resulted in the development of unique and common standard for all stages of education, namely State Educational Standard. Requirements to the Level of Preparation of Graduates in Foreign Languages at All Levels of Education (1) which is based on the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment (5). The new standard describes learners' expected proficiency with CEFR reference levels (students are expected to achieve A1 level in their first foreign language by the end of primary schooling and B2 level by the end of baccalaureate). The new standard also employs the terms and metalanguage used in the original CEFR document for the

purpose of defining and describing competences, knowledge, and learning strategies (1).

Major examples of successful language education policies informed by the CEFR include France, where language learning outcomes and levels linked to the CEFR and by which language pedagogy mainly informed (3; 8); Germany, where language education standards and competence based curricula were developed on the basis of the CEFR (2); Central and Eastern European counties, where several projects are carried out with the view of aligning school leavers' language examinations with the CEFR descriptors and levels.

Over the 20 years impact of the CEFR has gone beyond the borders of Council of Europe countries and became one of the major referencing documents in developing language education policies and carrying out reforms in this area. The CEFR has penetrated into the foreign language education systems and language education discourse. Now the document is used, consulted, referred or studied in a number of countries around the globe. The countries include New Zealand, Taiwan, USA, Argentina, Colombia, China, and Japan (2).

Although the implementation of the CEFR in national education systems around the world was widespread and significant, it has been partial rather than systematic. CEFR's which is holistic in its vision of coherence and comprehensiveness in language teaching, learning and assessment more and more studies suggest that not all educational systems are benefiting from its innovative approach.

At present, as many scholars note the major influence and effect as well as contribution of the CEFR was on the use of reference levels, which are being widely and effectively used by testing agencies, educational ministries, textbook writers and publishers. These stakeholders' use of the CEFR is justified by the transparent and standardized levels of language proficiency suggested by the document, and which are now part of the commonly used terminology among these stakeholders.

Nevertheless, it is worth noting in a number of contexts the CEFR terminology and its core concepts are used as labels rather than thoroughly studied knowledge of the document and its practical implementations (7). Such as, "curriculum guidelines do not make detailed use of the CEFR's descriptive scheme to specify learning outcomes, so that the link to a particular proficiency level is a matter of assertion only. Similarly, the instruments by which learning outcomes are assessed are not systematically linked to the CEFR" (10, 5).

In this brief article on the impact of the CEFR on educational policies we have studied that the influence of the CEFR on language education policies around the world suggests a promising and at the same time to some extent disappointing

picture. On one hand, the CEFR has become official reference document for a number of European and non-European countries. The CEFR and its elements and terms employed in many educational documents in describing language proficiency levels and describing competencies which are used to describe language proficiency in most of the above mentioned countries. In contrast, after almost twenty years from its first introduction, it became apparent that the CEFR has not yet been employed in its full extent which could reveal its full potential.

REFERENCES

- 1. Republic of Uzbekistan, Cabinet of Ministers. (2013). State Educational Standard. Requirements to the Level of Preparation of Graduates in Foreign Languages at All Levels of Education. // http://lex.uz/Pages/GetAct.aspx?lact_id=2165717
- 2. Byram, Michael, and Parmenter, Lynne. (2012). The Common European Framework of Reference: The Globalisation of Language Education Policy. Bristol, Multilingual Matters.
- 3. Bonnet, Gérard. (2007). The CEFR and Education Policies in Europe. *The Modern Language Journal*, 91(4), 669-672.
- 4. Broek, Simon., and Van Den Ende, Inga. (2013). The implementation of the Common European Framework for Languages in European education systems Study. European Union. [online]. http://www.europarl.europa.eu/
- 5. Council of Europe. (2001). Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment. Cambridge, Cambridge University Press. [online]. www.coe.int/lang
- 6. European Commission. (2012). First European Survey on Language Competences: Final report. [online]. https://crell.jrc.ec.europa.eu/
- 7. Figueras, Neus. (2012). The Impact of the CEFR. *ELT Journal*, 66(4), 477-485.
- 8. Goullier, Francis. (2012). Policy Perspectives from France. In M. Byram & L. Parmenter (Eds.), The Common European Framework of Reference: *The Globalisation of Language Education Policy* (37-44). Bristol, Multilingual Matters.
- 9. Little, David. (2011). The Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: A Research Agenda. *Language Teaching*, 44(3), 381-393.
- 10. Little, David. (2012). The CEFR and Language Teaching/Learning // ACTFL-CEFR Symposium, Graz, Austria. [online]. http://www.uni-leipzig.de/
- 11. Martyniuk, Waldemar and NOIJONS, Jose. (2007). Executive Summary of Results of a Survey on the Use of the CEFR at National Level in the Council of Europe Member States. [online]. http://www.coe.int/